

# **EXHIBIT 4**

**From:** "Costello, Robert J." <rjc@dhelegal.com>

**To:** "Joseph.Cooney@usdoj.gov" <Joseph.Cooney@usdoj.gov>

**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] FW: Transfer file from "Epson Connect Scan to Cloud"

**Date:** Wed, 3 Nov 2021 22:25:00 -0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Attachments:** Epson\_10132021091453.pdf

-----Original Message-----

From: Costello, Robert J.

Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2021 12:35 PM

To: 'Justin.Clark@ElectionLawLLC.com' <Justin.Clark@ElectionLawLLC.com>

Subject: FW: Transfer file from "Epson Connect Scan to Cloud"

Justin,

Attached is the letter I received from Congressman Bennie Thompson on behalf of the Select Committee of the House, responding to my previous letter. The Committee is taking the position that President Trump has not yet invoked executive and other privileges with respect to the subpoenas received by Steve Bannon and three others calling for documents and testimony. I direct your attention to the first full paragraph of the letter where Thompson states that : "the Select Committee has not received any assertion, formal or otherwise, of any privilege from Mr. Trump."

Apparently they do not recognize your letter to the subpoena recipients as an invocation of executive and other privileges. I would strongly suggest that a direct communication from you on behalf of President Trump would clarify the President's position with respect to the document requests and the deposition requests.

Very truly

yours,

Bob

Costello

**IMPORTANT NOTICE:** Beware of Cyber Fraud. You should NEVER wire money to any bank account that our office provides to you via email without first speaking with our office. Further, DO NOT accept emailed wire instructions from anyone else without voice verification from a known employee of our office.

Even if an email looks like it has come from this office or someone involved in your transaction, CALL US FIRST AT A NUMBER YOU KNOW TO BE CORRECT FOR THIS OFFICE to verify the information before wiring any money.

Be particularly wary of any request to change wire instructions you already received.

\*\*\*\*\*

**STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY**

The information contained in this electronic message and any attachments to this message are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee(s) and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by email reply to sender or by telephone to Davidoff Hutcher & Citron LLP at (800) 793-2843, ext. 3284, and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments.

**IRS DISCLOSURE NOTICE**

In accordance with Internal Revenue Service Circular 230, we inform you that any discussion of a federal tax issue contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used, by any recipient for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the recipient under United States federal tax laws, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

SKB-000030

US-000999

\*\*\*\*\*

SKB-000031

US-001000

BENNIE G. THOMSON, MISSISSIPPI  
CHAIRMAN

ZOF LOFFERT, CALIFORNIA  
ADAM H. SCHIFF, CALIFORNIA  
PETE AGUILAR, CALIFORNIA  
STEPHAN L. MURPHY, FLORIDA  
JANIE HASKIN, MARYLAND  
GEORGE C. LURIE, VIRGINIA  
LIZ SNEYD, WYOMING  
ADAM KINZINGER, ILLINOIS

U.S. House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Phone: 202-225-4111  
Fax: 202-225-4910



One Hundred Seventeenth Congress

Select Committee to Investigate the January 6th Attack on the United States Capitol

October 8, 2021

Mr. Robert J. Costello  
Davidoff Hutchler & Citron LLP  
605 Third Avenue, 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10158

Dear Mr. Costello,

I write in response to your October 7, 2021 letter which states that your client, Stephen Bannon, is "legally unable to comply" with the September 23, 2021 subpoena (the "Subpoena") issued by the Select Committee to Investigate the January 6th Attack on the United States Capitol (the "Select Committee"). Your letter relies on an apparent instruction from former President Donald Trump that appears limited to requesting that Mr. Bannon not disclose privileged information. Despite this limited instruction, your letter takes the inappropriate position that Mr. Bannon will not comply with any request for information or testimony sought by the Select Committee. Moreover, Mr. Trump's stated "intention to assert those executive privileges" that may or may not belong to him, does not provide a legal basis for Mr. Bannon's refusal to comply with the Subpoena.

You accepted service of the Subpoena for documents and testimony on Mr. Bannon's behalf on September 24, 2021. The Subpoena required that, by October 7, 2021 at 10:00 a.m., Mr. Bannon produce certain documents and other records referring or relating to the matters described in the Subpoena's schedule. All the requested documents relate directly to the inquiry being conducted by the Select Committee, serve a legitimate legislative purpose, and are within the scope of the authority expressly delegated to the Select Committee pursuant to House Resolution 503. In the letter accompanying the Subpoena, the Select Committee set forth the basis for its determination that the documents and records sought by the Subpoena and Mr. Bannon's deposition testimony are of critical importance to the issues being investigated by the Select Committee.

Your letter indicates that the sole basis for defiance of the Subpoena is Mr. Trump's "direction" to your client and his decision to "honor [Mr. Trump's] invocation of executive privilege." That position has no basis in law, and your letter does not cite any statute, case law, or other legal precedent for support.

First, virtually all the documents and testimony sought by the Subpoena concern Mr. Bannon's actions as a private citizen and involve a broad range of subjects that are not covered by executive privilege. You have provided no basis for Mr. Bannon's refusal to comply with

SKB-000032

US-001001

Mr. Robert J. Costello

Page 2

those portions of the Subpoena not covered by any privilege. Furthermore, blanket assertions of the deliberative process and attorney-client privileges, such as those apparently requested by Mr. Trump, have been rejected by courts as “unsustainable” even when—unlike the situation with Mr. Bannon—the subpoena recipient is an Executive Branch agency. *See Comm. on Oversight and Gov’t Reform v. Holder*, 2014 WL 12662665, at \*2 (D.D.C. 2014) (rejecting DOJ’s assertion of deliberative process privilege on all documents after a particular date and noting that the “Attorney General has not cited any authority that would justify this sort of blanket approach”).

*Second*, the Select Committee has not received any assertion, formal or otherwise, of any privilege from the Mr. Trump. Even assuming that, as a former President, Mr. Trump is permitted to formally invoke executive privilege, he has not done so. At most, Mr. Trump has “announced his intention to assert those executive privileges.” The Select Committee is not aware of any legal authority, and your letter cites none, holding that the mere intention to assert a privilege absolves a subpoena recipient of his duty to comply.

*Third*, your letter indicates that Mr. Trump has requested that your client “to the fullest extent permitted by law ... not provide any testimony concerning privileged material in response to the Subpoena.” Even if your client had been a senior aide to the President during the time period covered by the contemplated testimony, which he was most assuredly not, he is not permitted by law to the type of immunity you suggest that Mr. Trump has requested he assert. To the contrary, every court that has considered the absolute immunity Mr. Trump alludes to has rejected it. *See, e.g., Harlow v. Fitzgerald*, 457 U.S. 800 (1982); *Comm. on the Judiciary v. Miers*, 558 F. Supp. 2d 53, 106 (D.D.C. 2008) (rejecting former White House counsel’s assertion of absolute immunity from compelled congressional process). *Miers* made clear that even the most senior Presidential advisors may not resist a congressional subpoena “based solely on their proximity to the President.” *Id.* at 101 (citing *Harlow*, 457 U.S. at 810).<sup>1</sup> If there is no absolute immunity for senior Presidential advisors, then there certainly can be no such immunity for private citizens, such as Mr. Bannon, who occasionally communicate with the President on non-official, non-governmental, or campaign-related matters.

Regardless of any purported privilege assertion by Mr. Trump, Mr. Bannon has an ongoing obligation to produce documents to the Select Committee. Accordingly, please produce all responsive documents and records identified in the Subpoena. Should Mr. Bannon seek to withhold specific responsive documents, consistent with the Subpoena instructions, he must provide the Select Committee with a privilege log that “identifies and describes the material in a manner ‘sufficient to enable resolution of any privilege claims.’” *See Comm. on Oversight*, 2014 WL 12662665 at \*2 (quoting *Miers*, 558 F. Supp. 2d at 107). Such a privilege log should, at a minimum, provide the author(s) and recipient(s), indicate the general subject matter of each document being withheld, and the specific basis for withholding it.

<sup>1</sup> It is also worth noting that the court in *Miers* rejected the former White House Counsel’s claim of absolute immunity from congressional testimony even though the sitting President had formally invoked executive privilege. *Id.* at 62.

SKB-000033


US-001002

Mr. Robert J. Costello  
Page 3

Finally, the Select Committee expects Mr. Bannon's appearance at the time and place designated in the Subpoena for a deposition and respond fully to questions by the Select Committee. If there are specific questions at that deposition that you believe raise privilege issues, Mr. Bannon should state them at that time for the deposition record for the Select Committee's consideration and possible judicial review.

Please be advised that the Select Committee will view Mr. Bannon's failure to respond to the Subpoena as willful non-compliance with the Subpoena. His willful non-compliance with the Subpoena would force the Select Committee to consider invoking the contempt of Congress procedures in 2 U.S.C. §§ 192, 194—which could result in a referral from the House to the Department of Justice for criminal charges—as well as the possibility of having a civil action to enforce the Subpoena brought against Mr. Bannon in his personal capacity.

Sincerely,



Bennie G. Thompson  
Chairman

SKB-000034

US-001003